

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,595	08/20/2003	Takahiro Maemura	NGBC:007	5560	
75	90 11/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
ROSSI & ASSOCIATES			HUNNINGS, TRAVIS R		
P.O Box 826 Ashburn, VA	20146-0826		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	2632		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003	DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Advisory Action	10/644,595	MAEMURA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Travis R. Hunnings	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		RST REPLY WAS FILE	סאוואווא נס			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthsearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ——	4:				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendin	ient canceling			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 8.		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>15-17</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a (1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	ιτ does ΝΟΙ place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. ☐ Other:						

1401/08

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly amended claims present a new set of claim dependencies, specifically claims 4-7, 9 and 12 now depend from claim 2 where they did not before, this new claim dependency would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argues that Koreishi fails to disclose or teach superimposing at least one indicator on the parked vehicle, however examiner disagrees and notes that figures 18 and 20 clearly show the claimed superimposing of indicators on an image of a vehicle parked adjacent to the target parking position captured by the camera, the applicant argues that Koreishi and Kakinami do not disclose or teach superimposing indicators on the image on the image display unit when the instruction unit gives a start instruction of the parking assistance, however examiner disagrees because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that upon receiving a start instruction as taught by Kakinami to begin the process of parking assistance which would include the process of superimposing indicators on the images as disclosed by Koreishi.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2